

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
TAMPA DIVISION

EUDE RIVERA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE NO. 8:19-cv-2337-T-60MCR

COMMISSIONER OF THE SOCIAL
SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,

Defendant.

_____ /

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION¹

THIS CAUSE is before the Court on Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs/Expenses Pursuant to Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412 ("Motion") (Doc. 22). In the Motion, Plaintiff makes a timely request for an award of attorney's fees in the amount of \$6,791.12, expenses in the amount of \$13.60 for postage, and costs in the amount of \$440.00 for the filing fee and service of process fee pursuant to Section 2412 of the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412. (*Id.*) For the reasons stated herein, the undersigned recommends that the

¹ "Within 14 days after being served with a copy of [this Report and Recommendation], a party may serve and file specific written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations." Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(b)(2). "A party may respond to another party's objections within 14 days after being served with a copy." *Id.* A party's failure to serve and file specific objections to the proposed findings and recommendations alters the scope of review by the District Judge and the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, including waiver of the right to challenge anything to which no specific objection was made. See Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(b)(3); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); 11th Cir. R. 3-1; M.D. Fla. R. 6.02.

Motion be **GRANTED**.

The EAJA sets forth the following requirements for the award of fees and costs:

(a)(1) Except as otherwise specifically provided by statute, a judgment for costs, as enumerated in section 1920 of this title, but not including the fees and expenses of attorneys, may be awarded to the prevailing party in any civil action brought by or against the United States . . . in any court having jurisdiction of such action. A judgment for costs when taxed against the United States shall, in an amount established by statute, court rule, or order, be limited to reimbursing in whole or in part the prevailing party for the costs incurred by such party in the litigation.

. . .

(d)(1)(A) Except as otherwise specifically provided by statute, a court shall award to a prevailing party other than the United States fees and other expenses, in addition to any costs awarded pursuant to subsection (a), incurred by that party in any civil action . . . , including proceedings for judicial review of agency action, brought by or against the United States in any court having jurisdiction of that action, unless the court finds that the position of the United States was substantially justified or that special circumstances make an award unjust.

28 U.S.C. § 2412. In addition, the EAJA limits the parties eligible to recover fees to those “whose net worth did not exceed \$2,000,000 at the time the civil action was filed.” 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(2)(B).

Plaintiff, having obtained a sentence four reversal of a denial of benefits and remand, is a “prevailing party.” *Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 300-02 (1993). In light of the lack of opposition to the fees sought, the Court will not find that Defendant’s position was substantially justified. Moreover, there are no special circumstances which would make the award unjust. In addition, Plaintiff states that his net worth is less than two million dollars. (Doc. 22 at 1.) Thus,

an award of attorney's fees under the EAJA is appropriate.

In regard to the amount of attorney's fees to be awarded, the EAJA requires that the fees be "reasonable." 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(2)(A). In explanation of this standard, the EAJA reads:

The amount of fees awarded . . . shall be based upon prevailing market rates for the kind and quality of the services furnished . . . [and] attorney fees shall not be awarded in excess of \$125 per hour unless the court determines that an increase in the cost of living or a special factor, such as the limited availability of qualified attorneys for the proceedings involved, justifies a higher fee.

Id.

The Motion reflects that Plaintiff's attorney's rate is \$205.94 per hour for work performed in 2019 and \$207.83 per hour for work performed in 2020. (Doc. 22.) As these rates are higher than the statutory maximum, Plaintiff's attorney is seeking a cost of living adjustment. Defendant does not object to the requested rates. In light of the lack of opposition, the Court finds these hourly rates reasonable and the cost of living adjustment warranted.² The Court also finds reasonable the number of hours spent by Plaintiff's attorney on the case. The Court thus finds that \$6,791.12 (\$205.94 x 2.6 hours + \$207.83 x 30.1 hours) is a reasonable amount for attorney's fees in this case. The Court further finds that costs in the amount of \$400.00 for the filing fee and \$40.00 for the service of process fee, as well as expenses in the amount of \$13.60 for postage incurred in

² Were these hourly rates contested, the Court's determination regarding the reasonableness of the rates might be different.

connection with the service of process, are recoverable pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2412.

The parties agree that after the Court awards EAJA fees to Plaintiff, the Commissioner will determine whether Plaintiff owes a debt to the Government. If the United States Department of the Treasury determines that Plaintiff does not owe such a debt, the government will accept Plaintiff's assignment of EAJA fees and pay those fees directly to Plaintiff's counsel.

Accordingly, it is **RECOMMENDED** that:

1. The Motion (**Doc. 22**) be **GRANTED**.
2. The Clerk of Court be directed to enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant in the amount of \$6,791.12 for attorney's fees, \$440.00 for costs, and \$13.60 for expenses.
3. The Commissioner be directed to determine whether Plaintiff owes a debt to the government. If the United States Department of the Treasury determines that Plaintiff does not owe such a debt, the government will accept Plaintiff's assignment of EAJA fees and pay the fees directly to Plaintiff's counsel.

DONE AND ENTERED at Jacksonville, Florida, on November 16, 2020.


MONTE C. RICHARDSON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Copies to:

The Hon. Thomas P. Barber
U.S. District Judge

Counsel of Record